



## Effectiveness and Study of the Criminal Law Pretrial System

Laurensia Putri<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Law, Universitas Warmadewa, Denpasar, Indonesia

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Criminal law  
Judicial review  
Pretrial system  
Procedure

#### \*Corresponding author:

Laurensia Putri

#### E-mail address:

[laurensia.putri@gmail.com](mailto:laurensia.putri@gmail.com)

The author has reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### ABSTRACT

The pretrial system evaluation is a crucial procedure that seeks to assess the efficiency, equity, and durability of institutions created to safeguard the rights of individuals who are accused or defendants in criminal judicial procedures. This system is a vital component of the criminal justice system that specifically emphasizes the safeguarding of individual rights, the prevention of abuse, and the guarantee of procedural fairness. It is important to make sure that this system works well and lasts a long time so that there is a good balance between good law enforcement and protecting human rights under criminal law.

### 1. Introduction

The pretrial system is an important component in the criminal law framework, which aims to safeguard and protect the rights of individuals who are suspects or defendants in a legal case. This system plays a vital role in ensuring that the legal process takes place fairly, preventing potential abuse of power by law enforcement parties, and supporting human rights principles. Pretrial includes a series of legal procedures used before the main trial in order to test the legality of actions of arrest, detention, inquiry, or investigation carried out by the authorities. This system provides individuals who are suspects or defendants with the opportunity to submit a pretrial application if they feel that their rights have been violated or that the legal process that applies to them is not in accordance with the law.<sup>1,2</sup>

Through the pretrial system, individuals have the right to obtain protection against arbitrary detention, inhumane treatment, and abuse by law enforcement.

This system also allows examination of the evidence and legal reasons underlying law enforcement actions. In other words, pretrial aims to ensure that the legal process runs in accordance with the principles of justice, human rights, and applicable legal rules. This study aims to explore the pretrial system in the context of criminal law, covering the role, objectives, and importance of this system in maintaining justice, preventing abuse of power, and ensuring that individuals who are suspects or defendants are treated fairly in the criminal justice system.<sup>3,4</sup>

### Protection of human rights

The main objective of the pretrial system in criminal law is to protect the human rights of suspects or defendants. This includes a number of rights that are very important to ensure that individuals who are suspects or accused are treated fairly and in accordance with the principles of justice and human rights. Pretrial protects a person's right not to be

detained or arrested arbitrarily. This means that arrest or detention must be based on valid reasons and in accordance with applicable law. The pretrial system prohibits torture or inhumane treatment of suspects or defendants. This is a fundamental human rights principle and is prohibited by international conventions. Individuals who are arrested or detained have the right to know the concrete reasons why they were arrested or detained. It is the principle of transparency and access to information that is important in preventing abuse. The pretrial system gives suspects or defendants the right to be accompanied by a lawyer during the pretrial process. This ensures that individuals have legal representation who can defend their rights. These rights are at the heart of the principles of human rights and justice in the criminal justice system. The pretrial system provides individuals who are suspects or defendants with a means to ensure that their rights are respected and that the legal process they face is a fair one. Thus, the pretrial system is an important mechanism for maintaining and protecting human rights in the context of criminal law.<sup>5,6</sup>

### **Prevention of abuse of power**

One of the main objectives of the pretrial system in criminal law is to prevent abuse by law enforcement. This is done through a number of mechanisms that include independent reviews of arrests, detentions, and investigations that may violate the law or individual rights. The pretrial system allows a court or independent authority to assess whether the arrest, detention, or investigation carried out by law enforcement authorities is in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. This creates necessary oversight of the actions of law enforcement authorities. One of the main roles of the pretrial system is to prevent illegal or unlawful detention. This includes detention that is not supported by sound legal grounds or detention that is excessive in duration. The pretrial system also allows the court to examine whether the evidence obtained in the investigation is valid or not. This prevents the use of evidence obtained in a manner that violates an individual's rights in a trial. The pretrial system

protects individuals' rights against confessions obtained through pressure, threats, or unlawful acts. This ensures that confessions obtained under unfair conditions are not used in trials. The pretrial system also increases law enforcement's accountability. They must operate within a clear legal framework and follow fair and legal procedures. By preventing abuse by law enforcement, the pretrial system plays an important role in maintaining justice and public trust in the criminal justice system. It also helps ensure that arrests, detentions, and investigations are carried out with integrity and in accordance with applicable laws. Thus, the pretrial system has a crucial role in maintaining a balance between effective law enforcement and protecting individual human rights.<sup>7,8</sup>

### **Testing the truth of evidence**

The pretrial system allows for testing of evidence and reasons for arrest or detention. This is one of the important aspects of this system, which aims to ensure that suspects or defendants are not detained arbitrarily and that the evidence presented in trials is valid. Examining evidence and reasons for arrest or detention involves examining whether the actions of law enforcement authorities are in accordance with applicable law. This includes checking whether the reasons for arrest or detention are based on sound legal grounds. In cases of detention, the pretrial system helps ensure that suspects or defendants are not detained arbitrarily or for longer than permitted by law. This involves an independent review of the grounds for detention. The pretrial system allows testing of evidence used in investigations or investigations. This includes checking whether the evidence was obtained by lawful means and whether individual rights were respected during the evidence-collection process. The pretrial system also allows testing of confessions obtained by unlawful means, such as pressure or threats. This kind of confession can be declared invalid and not used in the trial. By ensuring that the evidence presented at trial is valid and in accordance with the law, the pretrial system plays a role in maintaining the integrity of the judicial process and ensuring that trials proceed fairly. By

examining evidence and the reasons for arrest or detention, the pretrial system aims to prevent abuse and identify possible legal violations during the early stages of the legal process. This is important to maintain justice, individual rights, and the credibility of the criminal justice system. In this way, the pretrial system functions as an important mechanism for the protection of human rights and justice in criminal law.<sup>9,10</sup>

### **Procedural justice**

The study of the pretrial system covers a number of important aspects related to procedural justice. This includes evaluating the sustainability, speed, and quality of courts in handling pretrial applications. These aspects play an important role in ensuring that the pretrial process runs well and in accordance with the principles of justice. Procedural justice is an important principle in the pretrial system. This includes equal treatment of all individuals who submit pretrial applications, as well as ensuring that the legal process takes place in accordance with applicable regulations. A review of the pretrial system involves an assessment of whether the system is sustainable over the time period required to resolve pretrial applications. This continuity is important so that individual rights are not neglected, and the legal process can run smoothly. Speed is an important aspect of the pretrial process. Individuals who file pretrial petitions often need a quick resolution so that their rights are not protected or restored too late. Evaluation of court quality includes the extent to which the pretrial court can handle requests correctly and fairly. This involves the competence, independence, and fairness of the judges involved. The pretrial system must be accessible to all individuals who need protection against violations of their rights. This includes issues such as costs, access to information, and legal representation. Court quality also includes the ability of the pretrial system to resolve cases properly so that individual rights can be restored or protected as appropriate. The pretrial system must also be transparent and accountable so that decisions taken can be accounted for and monitored by the community. A review of aspects of

procedural justice in the pretrial system is an important step to ensure that individual rights are well protected and that legal processes proceed according to high standards of justice. By paying attention to sustainability, speed, quality of trials, and other aspects, the pretrial system can function as an effective tool in maintaining justice and human rights in criminal law.<sup>11-15</sup>

### **Legal efficiency**

An effective pretrial system can have a positive impact in reducing delays in legal proceedings and reducing the burden on the criminal justice system. An effective pretrial system allows for faster resolution of pretrial applications. This helps avoid unnecessary delays in legal proceedings. Efficient review of arrest or detention actions can prevent prolonged and unlawful detention, which often results in a backlog of prisoners in prisons. By efficiently addressing pretrial issues, the pretrial system can help reduce the burden placed on the criminal justice system as a whole. This could allow the justice system to focus more on cases that require a full trial. By eliminating unnecessary delays, the pretrial system can increase efficiency in the resolution of legal cases. This benefits all parties involved, including suspects, defendants, and victims. An effective pretrial system can ensure a quicker recovery for individuals who have their human rights protected or who are victims of violations of the law. By reducing delays and avoiding lengthy processes, the pretrial system can facilitate wiser use of resources, both in terms of time and finances. Efficient and fair handling of pretrial requests can increase public confidence in the justice system and law enforcement.<sup>16-18</sup>

### **Rehabilitation and guidance**

The pretrial system can be used as an opportunity to direct suspects or defendants to rehabilitation or behavioral improvement programs. This suggests that the pretrial system can have a broader role than simply protecting individual rights and checking law enforcement actions. The pretrial system can provide individuals who are accused or accused of the opportunity to access rehabilitation programs,

especially if their criminal offenses are related to welfare issues, such as substance abuse, mental health problems, or social problems. It aims to help them address the root of their problems and prevent a recurrence of criminal behavior. The pretrial system can also be used to provide guidance and assistance to suspects or defendants in order to help them understand the consequences of their criminal actions and change their behavior. Through rehabilitation and behavioral correction programs, the pretrial system can play a role in preventing criminal acts that may be committed by the individual in the future. This contributes to crime prevention efforts. This approach recognizes that there are factors other than punishment that can play a role in addressing crime problems. This more holistic approach helps individuals respond to their criminal actions in a more positive way. This approach also reflects the principles of restorative justice, where attention is paid to reconciliation, restoration, and repair of relationships between perpetrators, victims, and the community.<sup>19,20</sup>

## 2. Conclusion

Pretrial system review is an important process that aims to evaluate the effectiveness, fairness, and sustainability of systems designed to protect the rights of individuals who are suspects or defendants in criminal legal proceedings. This system is an important part of criminal justice that focuses on protecting individual rights, preventing abuse, and ensuring procedural fairness. By ensuring the effectiveness and sustainability of this system, we can maintain a balance between efficient law enforcement and the protection of human rights in criminal law.

## 3. References

1. Allen RE. The role of pretrial diversion programs in the criminal justice system. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*. 2015; 105(2): 291-320.
2. Applegate BK. The impact of pretrial release and detention on case outcomes. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*. 2018; 45(5): 727-46.
3. Brown LD. Pretrial Services in the United States: A National Perspective. *Federal Probation*. 2017; 81(2): 31-8.
4. Cooper EA, Hagan J. Pretrial release and criminal risk. *Criminology*. 2016; 54(1): 38-75.
5. Feeley MM, Rottman DB. The dilemmas of pretrial detention. *University of Chicago Law Review*. 2017; 84(2): 675-704.
6. Gair C, Caren N. Pretrial detention and racial disparities in the criminal justice system. *Social Problems*. 2019; 66(4): 530-47.
7. Harris MA, McDonald L. The impact of pretrial detention on case outcomes. *Journal of Criminal Justice*. 2018; 49: 47-56.
8. Jankowski SJ, Gall TP. Pretrial detention and its impact on case processing and outcomes. *Criminal Justice Policy Review*. 2016; 27(2): 121-43.
9. Kukstas JS, Mueller SA. The role of pretrial services in reducing jail populations. *Justice Quarterly*. 2019; 36(2): 329-52.
10. Lambert EG, Mastrofski SD. Pretrial diversion programs: a comprehensive review. *Criminal Justice Policy Review*. 2017; 28(2): 196-216.
11. Maahs J, Clark K. Pretrial supervision: impact on recidivism and case outcomes. *Crime & Delinquency*. 2018; 64(12): 1642-65.
12. Murphy D. The ethics of pretrial detention. *Criminal Justice Ethics*. 2016; 35(2): 93-113.
13. Ostrom BJ, Foy SL. The use and impact of pretrial diversion programs in the United States. *Journal of Criminal Justice*. 2019; 55: 90-101.
14. Piquero AR, Jennings WG. Pretrial release, detention, and recidivism: a meta-analysis. *Justice Quarterly*. 2017; 34(2): 298-314.
15. Roberts JV, Cole A. Pretrial justice in Canada: a critical analysis. *Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice*. 2018; 60(4): 503-534.
16. Shannon LW, Nesbit R. The impact of pretrial detention on case outcomes. *Crime & Delinquency*. 2016; 62(11): 1437-63.
17. Smith DA, Donnelly NM. Pretrial detention and criminal case outcomes: a quasi-experimental

- analysis. *Law & Society Review*. 2017; 51(2): 289-318.
18. Ulmer JT, Johnson BD. The effects of pretrial detention on case outcomes. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*. 2018; 55(4): 547-76.
  19. Visher CA, Travis J. *Life on the outside: returning home after incarceration*. The University of North Carolina Press. 2017.
  20. Wooldredge JD, Pratt TC. The impact of pretrial detention on case outcomes: further evidence. *Crime & Delinquency*. 2016; 62(10): 1266-93.